### IX-1 Program

#### The 14th Conference on Public Works Research and Development in Asia

#### The 14th International Symposium on National Land Development and Civil Engineering in Asia

Flood, Sediment and Tsunami Related Disasters in Asia

Thursday October 27, 2005 13:10 - 17:00

#### Sendai International Center

National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM) Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport (MLIT) JAPAN

[Contents] 13:10-13:20

-13:20 Opening Address

Guest Address

Mr. Tsuneyoshi MOCHIZUKI Director General, NILIM, MLIT Mr. Michio TANAHASHI (for Mr. Masato SEIJI, Vice Minister for Engineering Affairs, MLIT)

Overseas Participant's Address Mr. Nguyen Xuan Hien from Vietnam

13:20-14:20 Keynote Speech

"Global Disaster - Lessons from the 2004 Sumatra Earthquake and Indian Ocean Tsunami"



Prof. Fumihiko IMAMURA
Professor, Disaster Control Research Center,
Graduate School of Engineering, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY
\*The earthquake of M9.0 took place on December 26, 2004, followed by the oceanic tsunami affecting all coast in the Indian Ocean. The number of casualties in the ocean exceeds 200,000. We carried out the field survey and numerical analysis to clarify the mechanism of the source and damage in the suffered areas. The video and satellite information are also compiled to have the lesson

14:20-14:30	Case of Japan
	Mr. Tsuneyoshi MOCHIZUKI, Director General, NILIM
14:30-14:45	Case of Tohoku District
	Mr. Masaharu SHINOHARA, Director, River Department,
	Tohoku Regional Bureau, MLIT
14:45-15:00	Case of Korea
	Dr. Chang Wan KIM
	Research Fellow, Korea Institute of Construction Technology
15:00-15:15	Setting up the International Centre for Water Hazard and Risk Management
	(ICHARM) under the auspices of UNESCO
	Mr. Akira TERAKAWA
	Director, Secretariat for Preparatory Activities of UNESCO-PWRI Centre,
	Public Works Research Institute
15:15-15:35	Break
15:35-16:55	Panel Discussion
	"Flood, Sediment and Tsunami Related Disasters in Asia"

Chair: Mr	Rvosuke	TSUNAKI.	Director.	Research	Center for	Disaster	Risk N	[anagement]
	. rtyosuite			1 Coocar on		Disuster	1 (10)(11)	nunugomone

#### **〈PANELISTS〉**

1. Prof. Fumihiko IMAMURA	Professor, Disaster Control Research Center,					
	Graduate School of Engineering, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY					
2. Mr. Tsuneyoshi MOCHIZUKI	Director General, NILIM					
3. Mr. Masaharu SHINOHARA	Director, River Department, Tohoku Regional Bureau					
4. Dr. Bunna YIT	Director, Public Works Research Center, Ministry of					
	Public Works and Transport, Kingdom of Cambodia					
5. Mr. Janak Jerambhai SIYANI	Chief Engineer (R&B) & Add Secretary, Roads & Buildings					
	Department, Government of Gujarat, India					
6. Dr. Chang Was KIM	Research Fellow, Water Resources Research					
	Department, Korea Institute of Construction Technology,					
	Republic of Korea					
7. Mr. Keophilavanh APHAYLATH	Director General, Urban Research Institute, Ministry of					
	Communication, Transport, Post and Construction,					
	Lao People's Democratic Republic					
8. Ms. Rebecca Trazo GARSUTA	Chief, Development Planning Div. Planning Service,					
	Dept. of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)					
	Republic of the Philippines					
9. Mr. Akkapong BOONMASH	Director, Improvement and Maintenance Division, Office					
	of Hydrology and Water Management, Royal Irrigation					
	Department, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives,					
	Kingdom of Thailand					
10. Mr. NGUYEN Xuan Hien	Deputy Director, Sub-Institute for Water Resources					
	Planning (SIWRP), Ministry of Agriculture and Rural					
	Development, Socialist Republic of Viet Nam					
16:55–17:00 Closing Address	Mr. Shin-ichiro TANAKA (for Mr. Norio MORINAGAI,					
	Director General, Tohoku Regional Bureau, MLIT)					

# **Global disaster; Lessons from the** 2004 Sumatra Eq. and Indian ocean tsunami

F.Imamura Prof. Tsunami Eng, DCRC, Tohoku Univ. **Recent tsunamis in Asia and Pacific regions 1993 Okushiri in Hokkaido, Japan 1998** Aitape in PNG 2004 Sumatra Eq. And Indian Ocean Tsunami Damage in SW coast in Sri Lanka Damage at Banda Aceh in Indonesia **Community based workshop to make regional** hazards map





# **Recent Major Tsunami Disasters**

World Seismicity: 1975 - 1995

22 events since 1992



## Tsunami Wave System

- Generation
  - A seafloor disturbance, such as motion along a fault, pushes up and down the overlying water.
- Propagation
  - The wave propagates across the deep ocean at jetliner speeds
  - Shoaling and refraction to amplify the wave
- Inundation
  - As the wave moves into shallower water, increased energy density increases both the wave height and the currents.
  - Runup on a land and run-down





# 1993 Hokkaido SW, Multiple Disaster



#### 1. Earthquake



#### 2. Tsunami

#### 3. Fires

•12 July 1993
•Magnitude of the earthquake: M 7.8
•Maximum wave height: 31m
•Fatalities: 239 (198 in Okushiri)
•Fire and landslide as secondary disaster
•Tsunami arrival: 2 - 3 minutes(Inaho) 5 minutes (Aonae)



r.mamura, DRCR

## **1993 Hokkaido SW Tsunami**



F.Imamura, DKCK

# Aonae District, Okushiri Is.

#### Tsunami and fires devastated this fishermen's town.



# **PNG Tsunami Awareness Pamphlet/Booklet Project**



17 July 1998
Magnitude of the earthquake: M 7.1
Maximum wave height: 15m
Fatalities: more than 2,200 cause: earthquake.
In addition, submarine landslide?



F.Imamura, DRCR

# Why Such Disaster?

- Aitape at PNG was attacked by the tsunami in 1907 and by the earthquake in 1935.
- The past disaster experiences had not been transferred to the present generations sufficiently.
- People did not know what to do when they felt strong ground motion.
- People lived on the low-lying areas without knowing such risk. No place for evacuation.

# Awareness with experts

- Booklet "Tsunami PNG 1998", ADC
- Tsunami awareness pamphlet/booklet/video
- All PNG children have learned about tsunamis at schools
- PARTIC (PNG and Region Tsunami Conference) Sep. 1999
- 1. Public Awareness to visit the areas, talk with the people
- 2. Tsunami Science Information
- 3. Disaster Management

F.Imamura, DRCR



# 2004 Sumatra Earthquake M=9.0 & Indian ocean tsunami





10

## Earthquake & Tsunami generation, Propagation

## NHK SPECIAL TSUNAMI



2005/2/4

## CG ROOM 4487



## The 2004 Tsunami Generation and Propagation By DPRI, Kyoto Univ.



# **Tsunami Energy from source**



## **Tsunami Model : Thailand, Khao Lak north** With the cooperation of Dr.Anat, Chula Univ.



**Field Survey** 

#### **Satellite Imagery**





**Extent of inundation zone** F.Imamura, DRCR

entre for Remote Imaging



## Newspaper on the damaged train by the tsunami



16



## Hikkaduwa 列車事故の発生した場所





The Computer Graphic which is made for public awareness, cooperated by NHK, Japan



view 1







Study on the effect of the green to reduce a tsunami impact Criteria of building damage by including impact as well as its design, structure, material

a filmining





#### **インドネシア・バンダアチェ周辺での津波遡上高さ** Measured tsunami runups at Banda Aceh and surround



F.Imamuk, 亚衛星データ(RADARSAT)



Arah Gempuran Tsunami CEH HOK NG Arah Gempuran Tsunami Tanggal: 29 Desember 2004 (Sesudah Tsunami) mu





#### インドネシア,バンダアチェでの映像



## To reduce damage and casualties

The "Three Helps" for disaster measures

One of lessons through 1995 Kobe earthquake

Tsunami warning Facility to reduce impact Risk evaluation and communication Education system Memorial Activity to remind experience



# Response of the people on tsunami warning in Japan



F.Imamura, DRCR

## Hazards map and WS making HM with the residents



## Powerful tool to increase the awareness; Example of Hazards map with the image on GIS



# Public awareness and education at Banda Aceh

- Example Radio program on RRI
- Cooperated by the Syiah Kuala Univ. and JICA
- Started on 25 July 2005
- Every Saturday 10-11 AM



Meeting at univ.

F.Imamura, DRCR Program at RRI on 243Sep

## Lessons from 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami

High activity of tsunamis at the period of 1992-Now

- Developing the monitoring and warning system with information technology and evacuation system
- Data base to compile the all data; runup, tidal records, visual record
- Criteria of house/infrastructure damage by adding design, structure, materials & green belt information
- Integrated disaster mitigation program for each region to mitigate tsunamis as well as typhoons, erosion and flood.
- International network for the community, education and Hazards map
# Disaster Reduction and Risk Management Approach to Flood, Landslide, and Tsunami Problems in Japan

Tsuneyoshi Mochizuki Director General National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management 27 Oct. 2005



# Asia Most Affected by Flood Disasters

People outlook to disasters! Can it make a difference





India, December 26, 2004

There is pressing need to develop advanced risk management on water hazard in order to secure human life and ensure sustainable socio-economic development and poverty alleviation Kilometers

Wind Storm

Other

# Geographical Features of Japan



Smaller area of level ground (as compared with UK)



Steeply sloping rivers (in terms of longitudinal slope)



• Tokyo, with Edo, Arakawa, and Sumida rivers



Concentration of population and properties in flood plains (The ground levels in Tokyo and London)

# **Characteristics of Recent Flood Damages**



# **Overview and Characteristics of Flood Disasters**

The numbers of missing and dead and damaged houses have been reduced.
 ←Improvement of flood control system and weather information
 Rate of completed embankment: 38% (1976) →56% (2002)
 The number of warning increased six-fold after the introduction of AMeDAS (in early 1970s).

- The monetary amount of damages has remained flat.
  - ←The area of submerged surface has decreased, but it tends to concentrate large and midsized cities.
- \* The disaster victims realized that lifeline disruption has a large impact (accounting for 1% of the damages)





### **Lessons from and Mitigation Measures against Flood Disasters**

Water running over or passing through the embankment.



Develop and improve embankment systems

Delay in collection and distribution of information



Put rain and water gauge systems in place, and improve the information transmission systems.

No guidelines on issuing evacuation advisories, or delay in issuing.



Establish the warning water levels allowing for the rising rate of flood water level.

Improve the information transmission systems

Increased the number of elderly victims

# **Enhancement of Flood Simulation Technology**

Analysis of the flood and inundation flows in combination by using the Flux Difference Splitting (FDS) Method will reveal the behaviors of inundation flow in the vicinity of the dike break spot and contribute to mitigation of damages.



# Disaster Risk Management Activities – Flood Hazard Map -

The Flood Hazard Map indicates the assumed inundation areas and evacuation sites intelligibly, which will assist people to take speedy and reasonable evacuation activities in a disaster as well as raise their awareness about disaster preparedness.



# Characteristics of Sediment Disasters

# **Debris flow:**

A mixture of earth, rocks and water moves downstream at 20 to 40 km per hour, resulting in destruction of farms and homes.



Sakurajima, Kagoshima (Sept., 1986)

# Landslide:

A heap of earth on a slope moves downward slowly. It occurs in an extensive slope area at a time and carries a huge volume of earth, causing vast destruction.



Nagano (Sept., 1986)

# Rock fall:

A cliff may fall suddenly during sever rainfall or earthquake. Many of the victims might fail to escape and be killed.

Minamata, Kumamoto (Sept., 1997)



### Volcanic disaster:

Volcanic disaster are caused by lava flow,volcanic mudflows and pyroclastic flows and so on.



Izu-Oshima, Tokyo (1986)

# Avalanche:

A large mass of snow falls down the side of a mountain, causing an extensive coverage of damage.



Obanazawa, Yamagata (1986)

## **Lessons from and Mitigation Measures against Sediment Disasters**



Improve the accuracy of identifying the danger spots.



Install check dams that can trap the logs more efficiently.



### **Disaster Risk Management Activities** -Lifesaving of Persons from a Car Trapped under Sediment (Chuetsu, Niigata)-



The experts from PWRI were monitoring without a break until a boy was rescued successfully. (the upper left corner on the photo) Photo credit: Asabi Shimbun



The full view of the slope failure site. This disaster attacked across a 200 m long portion of the road.



The rescue operation in progress, which was carefully carried out under the continuous aftershocks.

#### **Course of events**

Oct., 23, 2004, a large-scale slope failure occurred in Myoken-cho, Nagaoka, when the earthquake attacked the Chuetsu region,

Around 15:00, Oct., 26, a car was found trapped under sediment.

In the night of Oct., 26, the Niigata Governor asked MLIT, through the Cabinet Office, to dispatch the experts to the disaster site.

Around 12:00, Oct., 27, the experts from PWRI arrived at the site via helicopter.

- The expert from P.W.R.I checked if the site conditions allow the rescue party to start their operations. →Operations started.
- The team determined and advised which rocks could be moved or not.
- The team continued monitoring the operations for the safety of the rescue party .



# Overview and Characteristics of Tsunami Disaster

### Formation of Tsunami





Ocean plate

The distortion increases as the edge of the continental plate is dragged downward, which increases the distortion.

When the distortion reaches its limit, the end of the plate is broken away and the remaining part of the plate edge springs back up .

Upon entering shallow coastal waters, tsunami suddenly grows in height.

Data source: the Meteorological Agency



# **Disaster Risk Management Activities**



12-

# Japan's Disaster Prevention Scheme

- The Japanese disaster prevention scheme consists of the four phases: Response, Recovery, Mitigation, and Preparedness.
- As part of the designated administrative system, the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport has worked on development of the anti-disaster operation plan and taken the response, recovery, and mitigation measures.



etc.)

# Our Challenges for the Future

# **Future Directions**

- To seek the best combination of structural and nonstructural alternatives for each river basin

- To seek effective scheme of involving people in decision process

- To seek appropriate role and responsibility sharing between the national gov, local gov., municipality and individuals. Disaster Reduction and Crisis Control On Flood, Landslide and Tsunami Disaster in Tohoku Region

> River Department, Tohoku Regional Bureau, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport

# **Position of Tohoku Region in Japan**



# Area: Approx. 18% of the national territory (66,889km)

\*Resource: National survey of land by prefecture and municipality

Population: Approx. 8% of the national population (9,812,849 people)

\* Resource: Population Digest of 2002 Basic Resident Register



# **Characteristics of Floods in Tohoku - 1**

### [Typhoon and Front Activities]

Many floods occur on the coastal area of Sea of Japan due to the effect of fronts.

[Downpour in July 2004] ■ Flooding by front activities (Sake River of Mogami River System)







#### Meteorological Chart (July 18, 2004)

# Many floods occur on the coastal area of the Pacific Ocean due to the effect of typhoons.

[Flood on August 5, 1986] (Entire coastal area of the Pacific Ocean)

Flooding by typhoon (Surikami River of Abukuma

**River System** 





**Typhoon route diagram (August 1986)** 

# **Characteristics of Floods in Tohoku - 2** [Snowmelt Floods]

- Tohoku region is a heavy snowfall area
  Melting of remaining snow due to south wind and rise of temperature
- ◆ "Snowmelt floods" may occur even under small rainfall due to snow melting.
- [Flood on February 7, 1993]

Flooding by rainfall and snowmelt (Naraoka River of Omono River System)









#### **Rainfall (November – June)**



# **Points of Earthquake Occurrence and Tsunami Damage**



Earthquake foci of M7 or larger during the past 100 years (1900 – 1999)

Damages of Tsunami by Sanriku Earthquake (1933)



Miyako City, **Iwate Prefecture** 

Taro Town, Iwate Prefecture



Damage Record							
Date	Name of Earthqual	ke Description					
June 15, 1896	Meiji Sanriku Earthquake Tsunami	[M8.5] Tsunami attacked coasts from Hokkaido to Oshika Peninsula. 21,959 deaths and more than 10,000 houses were lost in runoff or collapsed completely/partially.					
March 3, 1933	Sanriku Earthquake Tsunami	[M8.1] Tsunami attacked the Pacific Ocean and damage was great on Sanriku Coast. 3,064 people were reported as dead or missing, houses lost to runoff 4,034, 1,817 houses were collapsed, and 4,018 houses flooded.					
May 23, 1960	Chile Earthquake Tsunami	Ms8.5] 142 people reported as dead or missing for entire Japan, over 1,500 houses were collapsed completely and over 2,000 partially. * Ms (surface wave magnitude): The method in which magnitude is calculated based on the maximum fluctuation of surface wave for a cycle of earthquake waves of about 20 seconds observed by seismograph (time from wave neak to another neak) and the distance between the					
May 16, 1968	Tokachi Offshore Earthquake	[M7.9] 52 deaths, 330 injuries, 673 totally collapsed houses, and 3,004 partially collapsed houses. Many damaged roads in Aomori Prefecture.					
June 12, 1978	Miyagi Pref. Offshore Earthquake	[M7.4] 28 deaths, 1,325 injuries, 1,183 totally collapsed houses and 5,574 partially collapsed houses. 888 locations of road damage and 529 locations of landslide.					
Dec. 28, 1994	Sanriku Haruka Offshore Earthquake	[M7.6] 3 deaths, 788 injuries, and 501 totally or partially collapsed houses. There were also damaged roads and coasts.					

2003 Scientific Chronology (edited by National Astronomical Observatory, Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology)

### **Tohoku region has**

many earthquakes and tsunamis

# **River Improvements**

### Flood by downpour at the end of August 1998



#### Damages of downpour at the end of August 1998

Deaths	11						
Severe injuries	9						
Totally/partially collapsed houses about 240							
Flooded houses	about 3,800						
Total damages	Approx. 40	billion yen					
(Total of Miyagi and Fukushima Prefectures)							



Implementation of comprehensive river improvement and short-term, concentrated disaster restoration project Project term: Approx. 3 years

# **Discharge Channel Establishment Project** Discharge channels in Tohoku region

(Kitakami River, Omono River, Aka River, Mabechi River)

- ♦ 1927 Discharge in Aka River discharge channel
- 1932 Discharge in Kitakami River discharge chann
- 1938 Discharge in Omono River discharge channel

# ♦ Mabuchi River dischar

- **1950** Discharge in discharge channel
- **1955** Completion of discharge channel
- 1964 Specification of Hachinohe New Industrial City
- **1967** Specification as Class 1 river

Hachinohe City which developed after discharge channel construction



Mabechi River discharge channel (before discharge)

Mabechi River discharge channel (present)



# **Flood Control Basin Establishment Project**

### Flood control basin in Tohoku region

### (Ohkubo flood control basin, Hamao flood control basin, Ichinoseki flood control basin)

- **Completion of Ohkubo Flood Control Basin (Mogami River)** 1997
- $\blacklozenge$  2005 **Completion of Hamao Flood Control Basin (Abukuma River)**

## $\bullet$ Ichinoseki Flood Control Basin $\bullet$

- 1972 **Kitakami River Flood Control Project**
- 1980 **Groundbreaking Ceremony for Ichinoseki Flood Control Basin**
- **Overall completion of surrounding bank** 2002

#### Present

**Project in implementation** 

#### **Photograph of Flood** in July 2002

平泉排水機場

内水氾濫





# **TVA Project of KVA-Japan (5 Great Dams of Kitakami River)**

### 1945 – Reconstruction after war

# **1947** Typhoon Kathleen

◆Damages of Typhoon Kathleen
Deaths/missing about 170
Injuries about 3,500
Totally/partially collapsed houses or runoff houses 3,0



Photo: Ichinoseki City, Iwate Pref.



### 1948 Typhoon Ione

◆Damages of Typhoon Ione
Deaths/missing about 710
Injuries about 500
Totally/partially collapsed houses or runoff houses 3,700



1951 – Specification as area applicable to "Act for Comprehensive Development of the National Land"
1953 – Launch of Comprehensive Development Project in Kitakami Specific Region (KVA)

### **Comprehensive Development Project in Kitakami Specific Region (KVA)**



### 1. Flood adjustment

 ♦ Reduction of floods in downstream Kitakami River area by 5 great dams at upstream Kitakami River and Ichinoseki Flood Control Basin
 ♦ Effect of dams Basic high level flow rate 13,000 m3/s
 → Approx. 11,000 m3/s (Reference point: Approx. 2,000 m3/s reduction at Kozenji)

# 2. Irrigation project

Irrigation water supply to rice paddies/fields

\* Supply to 86,000 ha and reclamation project of approx. 13,000 ha. Irrigation capacity of approx. 170 million m3

### **3. Power generation project**

 Hydroelectric power generation
 \* Power of 113,500kw generated at maximum

Total power generation capacity of approx. 340 million m3

# **Dams in Construction/Investigation in Tohoku Region**



#### **Isawa Dam (in construction)**



#### **Naruse Dam (in construction)**

# Tagawa 1st/2nd Dams (in investigation)

Surikami River Dam (in construction) Control planned to be shifted in April 2006



# **Landslide Disaster Measures**

◆ Landslide disasters by earth, driftwood runoff are prevented by erosion control weir, etc.

◆ Establishment of fish pass with consideration of continuance of transmissive erosion control weir and environment for ensuring the drift sand system

Support for preparation of volcano disaster prevention map,

guideline, etc.

Abukuma River System



Hachimantai

Mt. System

Mogami River/+ Aka River System

Masudama 2nd erosion control weir <transmissive> (Yamagata Pref.)



Erosion control weir on Kosendatsu River (Akita Prefecture)



Fish pass establishment status



Image from Iwate volcano activity monitoring



Iwate volcano disaster prevention map

# **Earthquake Measures**

Naruse River earthquake measures
North Miyagi Earthquake on July 26, 2003
Earthquakes occurred 3 times in intensities of 6 or larger.

#### Damges

Injuries: 675 Totally/partially collapsed houses: 4.966



Vertical crack at the edge of weir top



Emergency recovery construction

Completion of full recovery construction



Sanriku high water prevention
Tsugaruishi Water Gate construction
Bank raising for tide enbankment
Gate installation near Tsugaru Ishikawa estuary

Preparation of disaster prevention map



Tsugaruishi water gate



Kesennuma City disaster prevention

# **Software Measures**

### $\sim$ Integration of hardware establishment and software measures $\sim$

Provision of river disaster prevention information on the Internet or mobile phone sites (water level, rainfall, flood forecast, flood warning, etc.)

 $\blacklozenge$  Information provided in real time for 24 hours

 $\blacklozenge$  Flood images in rivers provided to public broadcast

◆ Support for hazard map preparation



Information provided by utilization of the Internet



### Flood hazard map (Yurihonjo City, Akita Pref.)



#### Information provided by live broadcast

**Flood simulation** 

#### Typhoon Rusa and Super Typhoon Maemi: Impacts and Aftermath

The 14<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on National Land Development and Civil Engineering in Asia

Prepared by Chang Wan KIM Water Resource Research Department Research Fellow, Korea Institute of Construction Technology Korea

#### **1. Introduction**

Two recent major typhoons, Typhoon Rusa in 2002 and Super Typhoon Maemi in 2003, imposed a heavy toll on Korean society. Typhoon Rusa was labeled as one of the worst tropical storms of the last 45 years. Super Typhoon Maemi was the strongest typhoon since records began almost 100 years ago. Characteristics of Typhoon Rusa and Maemi are analyzed using the typhoon tracks, hydrologic data, and flood damages.



Figure 1. Satellite image of Typhoon Rusa

Figure 2. Satellite image of Typhoon Maemi

#### 2. Typhoon Tracks

'Rusa' is the Malaysian word for deer. Typhoon Rusa developed southwest of Wake Island at the eastern periphery of the monsoon trough. The cyclone tracked northwest toward Okinawa for approximately 8 days before turning toward the Korean Peninsula and subsequently made landfall at approximately at 06:30 UTC on 31 August 2002 near Goheung-gun, Korea, with maximum sustained winds of 120 km/hr (65 knots), gusting to 150 km/hr (80 knots). Typhoon Rusa reached a peak intensity of 215 km/hr (115 knots) on 26 August near the Bonin Islands and maintained this intensity for 24 hours before beginning a slow weakening trend until landfall in Korea.





Figure 4. Track map of Typhoon Maemi

'Maemi' is the Korean word for cicada. Super Typhoon Maemi formed in the monsoon trough approximately 110 km east-southeast of Guam. As the typhoon approached Okinawa, it developed into a super typhoon (category-5) by 12:00 UTC on 9 September and attained maximum intensity of 280 km (150 knots) 12 hours later. Typhoon Maemi made landfall at 13:00 UTC on 12 September near Goseong-gun, Korea, with maximum sustained winds of 140 km/hr (75 knots), gusting to 175 km/hr (95 knots) and, subsequently, tracked along the southeastern coast of Korea.

#### 3. Renewal of Rainfall and Wind Speed Record

Typhoon Rusa caused a historical precipitation in northeastern part of Korea. Table 1 shows rainfall depths measured at two gauging stations. As shown in Table 1, all the recorded data exceed the probable precipitation of 200-year return period at every duration time both in the two stations. A PMP (Probable Maximum Precipitation) analysis shows that for the duration time of 24 hours the recorded amount of rainfall exceeds the existing PMP in the basin area of up to 2,000 km<sup>2</sup>. It shows also that for the duration times of 12 and 48 hours, the recorded ones exceed the existing PMP in the basin area of up to 200 km<sup>2</sup>.

Stations	Itom	Duration(hr)				
Stations	Itelli	1	6	12	24	48
Gangneung	Return period (yr)	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200
	Rainfall depth (mm)	98.0	399.5	576.0	880.0	897.5
Yangyang	Return period (yr)	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200	Over 200
	Rainfall depth (mm)	83.0	403.0	560.0	664.0	679.0

Table 1. Rainfall depths recorded at two stations in northeastern part of Korea

Super Typhoon Maemi caused a historical wind gust in Jeju Island and southeastern part of Korea. The typhoon struck the south coast of Korea during the night of September 12 as a category-3 typhoon, with wind gusts reaching 216 km/hr and rainfall of up to 450 mm before it made landfall. The maximum wind speed of 60 m/s (216 km/hr) at Jeju gauging station is the national record of Korea. This fact indicates that the characteristics of Super Typhoon Maemi is the very strong wind around the center of typhoon.

Figure 5 shows the gauging stations which made new records among eighty-seven stations. While Typhoon Rusa made the new records of one-day maximum rainfall at eight stations, Super Typhoon Maemi made the new records of maximum instantaneous wind speed at twenty stations. From this fact, it can be noticeable that Typhoon Rusa was a wet typhoon but Super Typhoon was a dry typhoon.



Figure 5. Meteorological observation stations

#### 4. Rainfall and Floods

As shown in Figure 6 and 7, the amount of precipitation caused by Typhoon Rusa in the northeastern part of Korea was almost twice of that caused by Super Typhoon Maemi . Figure 8 shows the stage hydrograph and the spatially averaged-rainfall hyetograph at Gangneung stage-gauging station located at the downstream in the basin. The design water level of 100-year return period at this station is 3.54m and the flood level exceeded it by 1.8m. In this figure, too, the peak flood coincides the peak rainfall, which implies the flood is a type of flash flood.

Figure 8 shows the stage hydrograph and the spatially averaged-rainfall hyerograph at Gupo stage-gauging station located at the downstream of the Nakdong River while Super Typhoon Maemi made landfall at 21:00 KST (13:00 UTC) on September 12 near Goseong-gun. The typhoon kept its strength over category-1 typhoon for 12 hours after the landfall. The stage-gauging stations near the sea experienced the storm surge of about 1.4 m during this period.





rainfall (mm)

Vourly

100 nourly rainfall (mm)

time (mm-dd) Figure 9. Hydrograph at Gupo stage-gauging station

09-15

09-16

09-17

09-18

09-19

09-14

09-10

09-11

09-12

09-13

#### 5. Flood Damages

The resulting floods of Typhoon Rusa left 213 dead and another 33 missing in the whole country. Heavy storms accompanied with the typhoon destroyed more than 45,000 houses, washed away 850 km<sup>2</sup> of farmland, swept 202 bridges, destroyed several sections of roadway and railway, and cut off fresh water to 400,000 people. Besides, they destroyed completely and nearly hundreds of stream channels in the regions. Altogether, the damage caused by the typhoon was as much as \$ 5.4 billion.

During the floods, morphologies of many streams flowing especially to the East Coast from the mountainous regions were dramatically changed by the high-speed and highly concentrated flows. Channel response to such extreme flood clearly shows the inertia-dominant behavior of channel straitening, widening, and steepening. Re-channelization is to restore the stream channel with new channel forms and new floodplain management practices after a stream channel and surrounding floodplain are completely or near-completely altered by the extreme flood. It would usually follow, in case that the channel and socio-economic conditions allow, the channel forms newly shaped by the extreme flood.

Super Typhoon Maemi damaged 774 roads, 27 bridges, and submerged 174 km<sup>2</sup> of farmland. More than 25,000 were left homeless and at least 117 fatalities were reported. The storm destroyed more than 5,000 houses and damaged a further 13,000 homes and businesses. Almost 40,000 cars were damaged in the storm. A total of 465 vessels were destroyed or marooned. The most severe damage caused by this typhoon was that Korea's main port of Busan and the industrial areas in Yecheon, Ulsan and Daegu were heavily affected. Altogether, the damage caused by the typhoon was as much as \$ 4.8 billion.

Up to 453 mm of rainfall fell across the Nakdong River basin. The Nakdong River Flood Control Center issued flood warnings along the Nakdong River, which runs through the center and south of Korea, as overflowing dams had to open floodgates. About 25,000 people had to be evacuated and several thousand were camped out in public buildings on Sunday 14th September, two days after the event.



Figure 10. Flood damage amount by major flood event or typhoon

Figure 10 shows the damage of past twenty-two flood events or typhoons. From this figure, damage amount by Typhoon Rusa is about ten times as large as the average. It is also about 5 times as large as the previous maximum damage amount. Damage amount by Super Typhoon Maemi is as large as that by Typhoon. So, damage amount by both typhoons exceeds the rest damage amount.

Flood damages caused by the typhoon Rusa show a very different characteristic as compared with the previous ones in terms of the scale and type of damage. In a word, almost all of the river channels were changed and the most levees along those channels were washed away. Moreover, two small dams were overtopped in the Gangneungnamdae River running through Gangneung city causing losses of lives and property. The flood damages in the region can be categorized into several types as follows:

Type 1 is the levee failure and channel re-coursing. During the floods, morphologies of the many channels in the region were dramatically changed by the high-speed flows as well as highly concentrated debris flows. These streams affected by the floods had been channelized during the 1970's - 90's by the river regulation works, including levee construction along the both sides of the channel and bridges crossing the streams. Figure 11 shows a typical one of such type.

Type 2 is the debris flow caused by the torrential water along the narrow river valley and landslides from the slopes of mountain. Debris flow is not common in Korea because the basins are geologically mature and have relatively thin soil layers over the mother rocks. This time, the catastrophic rainstorms and floods by the typhoon caused numerous landslides and debris flows especially in the steep and narrow river valleys. Figure 12 shows a remnant of debris flow burying houses and lands.

Type 3 is the dam overtopping and resulting downstream floods. This was caused probably by the near-PMP condition. Figure 13 shows the Dongmak dam site, one of the two dam-overtopping cases during the flood.



Figure 11. Levee failure, channel widening and recoursing (flow from center-left to lower-left)



Figure 12. Houses buried by debris flow



Figure 13. Dam collapse (Dongmak Reservoir; view from upstream to downstream)



Figure 14. Container cranes destroyed in Busan Port
The damage due to Typhoon Maemi was mostly dominated by wind and occurred in private property. Busan, as Korea's largest port, normally handles 80 percent of the country's container shipping. Typhoon Maemi blew into Busan with such intensity that not only tossed shipping containers and fishing boats into the air but also toppled eleven giant container-lifting cranes, each weighing around 900 tons. Figure 14 shows container cranes destroyed in Busan Port.

#### 6. Lessons Learned

There are lessons which can be learned from Typhoon Rusa in 2002 and again Super Typhoon Maemi in 2003.

Although Typhoon Rusa and Super Typhoon Maemi were both closely monitored and categorized in meteorological term, the significant differences in their physical characteristics led to different damages to the society. The forecasts and severe weather warnings were also too later for disaster management teams to react.

The climate is changing but policy for dealing with it has not changed. Korea is carrying out the first Korean geosynchronous multi-functional satellite (COMeS) development program to improve the capability of obtaining real-time meteorological observation data that provides higher time, spatial and spectral resolution data than currently available. For more rapid and precise forecast of rainfall and streamflow, Korea is installing hydrological radars and the automated flow measurements.

As many people complained about the slow relief measures after Typhoon Rusa, the new administration had not carried out effective evacuation actions when Super Typhoon Maemi hit again one year later. The Korean government is now pursuing several improvements to its disaster management system. These improvements would cover the entire country simultaneously through the use of advanced information and communication technologies.

Rapid urbanization and industrialization but lacking sufficient infrastructure for disaster management contributed more in property damage and life loss. Moreover, construction standards are still based on flood frequencies from decades past. The Korean government has established a new concept in measures for flood damage such as the Comprehensive River Basin Flood Control Plan. The focus of this plan is that the whole basin can be protected from flooding through effective combination of embankments, dams, retention and flood control pond. Besides, the Korean government checked the safety of dams to implement proper adjustments for better flood control by dams.

#### References

Kim, C.W., Woo, H., Kim, W., Lee, D.H. and Yoon, K.S. (2004) "Re-channelization of Stream Channels Affected by an Extreme Flood due to the 2002 Typhoon Rusa in Korea," *Proceedings of the 2004 World Water and Environmental Resources Congress*, ASCE EWRI, Salt Lake City, UT, June 27-July 1, 2004.

Ministry of Construction and Transportation (2005) *Water Resources in Korea 2005*. Ye, Q. (2004) *Typhoon Rusa and Super Typhoon Maemi in Korea*. NCAR/ESIG.

## **Outline of ICHARM**

### Akira TERAKAWA

Director, Secretariat for Preparatory Activities of UNESCO-PWRI Centre Public Works Research Institute (PWRI) Tsukuba, Japan

### the International Centre for Water Hazard and Risk Management

### (ICHARM)

under the auspices of UNESCO

### I am going to talk about

■ 世界の水災害の動向

(Trend of water related disasters in the world)

■ 土木研究所の概要

(Outline of PWRI)

■ ICHARMの活動予定

(Planned activities of ICHARM)

■ ICHARMの設立準備状況 (Preparatory activities of ICHARM)





Disasters in the World (1960-2004) ※ CREDOのデータより、土木研究所作成



死者10名以上もしくは被災者100名以上等の要件を満たす災害のうち、 洪水や暴風雨によるものが圧倒的な比率を占めており、近年増加傾向にある。 <sub>災害数</sub>大陸別の災害数の推移(1960-2004) (CREDOのデータより、土木研究所作成) 700 -- アフリカ ----アメリカ - アジア 797 600 ----オセアニア 500 アフリカ 400 アメリカ 300 3-0-M 200 100 12727 0 19101914 1985 1989 1995-1999 1965 1969 19151919 1980198A 1990-1994 2000200A 19601964 アジア地域の水災害発生件数は、世界の1/3以上を占めており、 これも近年増加傾向にある。

### Public Works Research Institute (PWRI)

1927: Established 1979: Relocated to Tsukuba (Area:126ha, Staff: 550)

**History** 

2001: Re-organized into two institutes

(PWRI and NILIM)

- Staff : 219 (including 1 researchers)
- Research subjects: about 200
  Budget (FY 2004): 6 billion JPY (55 mil. US\$)

## 200 Research topics focusing on <u>14 priority</u> research projects

- to ensure safety
- to conserve and restore the environment
- for efficient management of
   infrastructure

### 9 Research Groups with 20 teams

- Construction Technology Research Dept.
- Material and Geotechnical Engineering
- Earthquake Disaster Prevention
- Water Environment (水循環G)
- Hydraulic Engineering (水工G)
- Erosion and Sediment Control (土砂管理G)
- Road Technology
- Structure
- Niigata Experimental Laboratory

### **International Research Cooperation**

**Swedish National Road Administration** VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland University of Cambridge Korea Institute of Construction Technology Technical University of Milano Korea Infrastructure Safety and Technology Corporation Korea Institute of Water and Environment Korea Highway and Transportation Technology Institute China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research **US Bureau of Reclamation** University of California at Davis George Washington University Mekong River Commission Ministry of Transport of the Kingdom of Thailand Asian Institute of Technology Conclusion of MOU 2001 2002 2003 **Implementation arrangements** 2004

with overseas organization

### **Objective of ICHARM**

- Accumulated knowledge and experience trying to overcome water-related disasters
- Global network of UNESCO-IHP for internationally sharing valuable information

Contribution to prevent or mitigate water-related disasters in the world



**Research, Training and Information networking activities would be promoted in a combined manner** 

### Activities - Research -

- Contribution to international projects such as WWAP and IFI/P (UNESCO/WMO)
  - Hydraulic / hydrological prediction, observation, modeling and analysis
- Risk assessment and risk management technologies for water-related hazards under various socio-economic, geographic and climatic conditions

### Activities

- Training and Capacity building -Conducts JICA training courses, including

- River and dam engineering
- Flood Hazard Mapping



A new course on comprehensive tsunami disaster prevention is in preparation

Strengthen the follow up activities to link the training course to concrete action for preventing or mitigating water related disasters

### **TC on Flood Hazard Mapping**

### Objective

- Acquire professional knowledge necessary to produce flood hazard maps
- Enhance understanding of its effectiveness
- Seek application in his/her own country

#### Framework

- 4 weeks for 5 years (2004-2008)
- 16 trainees from 8 countries of Asia
- Place: Tsukuba, Japan (PWRI & JICA)

# Activities - Information Networking -

Information networking will be synergized with research and training activities

in order to enhance integration and coordination:

Through the information network...

- Research output will be widely disseminated
- Feedback from countries / regions will be reflected in the research projects
- Trainees will develop domestic links to their own countries/ regions
- Local needs for training items would be clarified



ICHARM would collaborate with the UNESCO-IHP networks, relevant UN agencies and other key institutes & organizations of the world

### **Preparatory activities**

#### October 2003

#### 32<sup>nd</sup> UNESCO General Conference

#### → Announcement of intention to set up the Centre by the representative of Japanese Government

October 2003 RSC meeting in Southeast Asia & Pacific and in Latin America & Caribbean → Resolutions strongly supporting the establishment of the Centre

### Preparatory activities (cont'd)

January 2004

**International technical workshop at PWRI** 

→ Experts from Asia, Africa, East & West Europe, and North & South America

Summary Report on directions of the Centre

**International Symposium in Tokyo** 

**April 2004** 

Proposal of the new Center was welcomed at UNESCO IHP Bureau Meeting

July 2004

A preparatory meeting of IFI/P hosted by PWRI

### Preparatory activities (cont'd)

September 2004

**UNESCO IHP Intergovernmental Council** 

→ Resolution to support the proposal January 2005

**World Conference on Disaster Reduction** 

(Hyogo Japan, organized by ISDR)

- → Recognition of the role of ICHARM
- → Official launch of IFI



#### International Strategy ISDR for Disaster Reduction

### Welcome to Hyogo World Conference 18-22 January 2005, Kobe, Hyogo,



Dr. Sakamoto, CEO of PWRI, at the opening of the thematic session entitled 'Research on Floods and Landslides and a new Initiative for Risk Reduction'

### International Flood Initiative (1/2)

#### Mission

Promote an integrated approach to flood management

by reducing the risk of social, environmental and economic effects that result in and from floods and increasing the benefits from floods and the use of flood plains

Implementation

UNESCO, WMO, UNU, UN-ISDR, IAHS ···· Secretariat : ICHARM

### International Flood Initiative (2/2)

#### **Guiding principles**

- Living with flood
- Equity
- Empowered participation
- Inter-disciplinary and trans-sectorality
- International and regional cooperation

#### **Strategic Activities**

- Research
- Training and education
- Information networking
- Capacity building
- Technical assistance

### **Preparatory activities (cont'd)**

#### April 2005

#### > UNESCO Executive Board (FA & PX)

→Draft decision was adopted to approve ICHARM at the General Conference in October 2005.



### Preparatory activities (cont'd)

#### October 2005

#### 33<sup>rd</sup> UNESCO General Conference

# → Proposal of the Japanese Government was accredited by 191 member countries





### Office Space of ICHARM

Research Staff20(in the initial stage)

Office space 2,000m<sup>2</sup>





### Thank you for your attention

http://www.unesco.pwri.go.jp