

Slope Failure Disasters and Countermeasures

November 2016

Atsushi OKAMOTO Ikuo MURATA (National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management SABO Risk-Management Division)



Typical site plannning countermeasures against slope failures at countryside (Yamaguchi prefecture, western Japan)



Debris Flow

This is a phenomenon in which soil and rock on the hillside or in the riverbed are carried downward at a dash under the influence of a continuous rain or a torrential rain.

Although the flow velocity differs by the scale of debris flow, it sometimes reaches 20-40 km/hr, thereby destroying houses and farmland in an instant.



Landslide

This is a phenomenon in which part of or all of the soil on a slope moves downward slowly under the influence of groundwater and gravity.

Since a large amount of soil mass usually moves, a serious damage can occur. If a slide has been started, it is extremely difficult to stop it.



Slope Failure (landslip , earth fall)

In this phenomenon, a slope abruptly collapses when the soil that has already been weakened by moisture in the ground loses its self-retainability under the influence of a rain or an earthquake.

Because of sudden collapse, many people fail to escape from it if it occurs near a residential area, thus leading to a higher rate of fatalities.



Law for Prevention of Sediment Disasters

Sabo Law (Enacted in 1897)	Landslide Prevention Law (Enacted in 1958)	Law on Prevention of Disasters caused by Steep Slope Failure (Enacted in 1969)	Sediment Disaster I (Enacted in 2000)	Prevention Law (Amended in 2011)
Law for the purpose of so-called "flood- related erosion control Prevention of interference with the normal flow of rivers	 Enacted to promote new measures for landslides in city areas, which could not be adopted in the Erosion Control Act. * Impetus was the July 1957 Western Kyushu landslide disaster which produced casualties 	Enacted based on public calls for the rapid development of landslide measures. * Impetus was the frequent landslide damage caused by localized heavy rains in Nagasaki, Saga, Hiroshima and Hyogo (July), Niigata and Toyama (August), and Wakayama (October) in 1967	Newly enacted due to the recognized need for stronger soft measures such as the dissemination of information on risk areas for sediment disasters, the development of warning and evacuation systems, the restriction of development activities and the strengthening of building safety etc. * Impetus was the June 1999 Hiroshima and Kure landslide and debris flow disasters	Amended to provide necessary information for municipalities so that they can determine evacuation instructions for residents in the event of an imminent sediment disaster. * Impetus was the river channel blockages resulting from the 2004 Niigata-Chuetsu Earthquake and the 2008 Iwate-Miyagi Inland Earthquake.
■ Landslide and Slope failre disaster <u>locations</u> <u>and implementation</u> <u>limited by the reading of</u> <u>the "Erosion Control</u> <u>Low"</u>	Landslide measures separated from erosion control measures	Steep slope measures separated from erosion control and landslide measures	Sediment Disaste	r r <u>e Enhancement</u>
Hard Measure	S		Soft Me	asures

Soft Measures

 \bigcirc

An example of hazard map for sediment disasters



Slope Failure Disaster caused by Rainfall





Sept 2005 Kitamorokata, Miyazaki pref.



Aso city, Kumamoto prefecture, July 2012

Slope Failure Disaster caused by Rainfall





Jun 2014 Yokosuka, Kanagawa pref.

7

Slope Failure Disaster caused by Earthquake





Difference between Slope Failures and Landslides

🔮 国土交通省

Diagrams of Location Prone to Slope Failures Disasters

Features of Slope Failures and Landslids



Item	Slope failures	Landslides
Geology	Almost no relation to geology	Occurs in specific geology and geological structure
Topography	Occurs at a steep slope	Occurs at a gentle slope in a so-called landslide topography
Depth of movement	Within 1-2m	Several meter to over 10 meter
Scale of movement	Small	Large
Speed of movement	Abrupt	Usually slow, sometimes abrupt
Warning signs	Hardly any warning signes almost always fail suddenly	Often developed cracks, depressions, upheavals, groundwater fluctuation
Causes, triggering mechanism	generally influenced by rainfall intensity	Generally infuluenced by excess groundwater, elevated groundwater table

🔮 国土交通省

			TYPE OF MATE	ERIAL				
	- MOVEMENT			ENGINEERING SOILS				
			BED ROCK	Predominantly Predominantly coarse fine				
FALLS			Rock fall	Debris fall	Earth fall			
TOPPLE	S		Rock topple	Debris topple	Earth topple			
	ROTATIONAL	FEW	Rock slump	Debris slump	Earth slump			
SLIDES		UNITS	Rock block slide	Debris block slide	Earth block slide			
	TRANSLATIONAL	MANY UNITS	Rock slide	Debris slide	Earth slide			
LATERA	LSPREADS		Rock spread	Debris spread	Earth spread			
			Rock flow	Debris flow	Earth flow			
			(deep creep)	(soil c	reep)			
	EX C	Combinatio	on of two or more pr	incipal types of move	ement			

Varnes (1978)

- In order to obtain necessary basic data on sediment disaster measures in Japan,
 disaster data collection activities and sediment disaster risk location investigations
 have been implemented.
- The resulting data is used for a variety of other studies into required locations for sediment disaster measures, the study of technical criteria, the study of warning and evacuation system, policy reviews, and the study of responses following disasters.
- Prefectures carry out the investigations, on behalf of the national government. The details from the investigations are then presented to the prefectures by the national government

国十交诵省

Disaster Report Form



12

緊急報告用 第 報 災害報告(土石流等) 第 報	詳細報告用 (緊急報告を添付) (渓流名)
	災害報告(土石流等) (年 月 日 時現在)
Image: Second	観測所名及び渓流(谷出口)との距離 観測所名 距離 km 通統雨量 (緊急報告に記載) 査 最大24時間雨量 (緊急報告に記載) 日 (緊急報告に記載) 気 ・・・・・・・・・・・・
Date and Time of evacuation order issue Actual evacuation action, time of evacuation etc.	象 確 比速続雨量以 前週間の速続総 ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
型 目主運動がなされた時期 月 目 時 分 異常気象名 観測所名 気	成力 風力 災害発生時) m/s が明6かな場合はグラフ中に矢印で明証すること。 保全対象 人家戸数 戸 人 人 人 人 人 人 小 人 小 人 市 人 市 日 人 市 日
次 And max. hourly rainfall at time of disaster 最大時間雨量 年 月 時 血/hr 年 月 時 土砂液出状況 (凍出土砂量 山* 河道閉塞 有・無 堆積状況 河鎮の 程度	第を記入 災害弱者関連施設 1 有 ・2 無 施設名 [調査中・確認済] 公共施設 1 有 ・2 無 施設名 土石流氾濫区域の面積 n ¹
決適の機器 E 9 1 B 学者 公園 送帳面積 1 日本 1 <th1< th=""> 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	×上石流による壁物被 害数を、法指定の範囲 内外、及び構造の別で 該当する数をそれぞれ 人 全 ・ ののので ・ のので ・ のので
	$i \mathbb{I} \sqrt{r^2 s}$ $i \mathbb{I} r^2$
 客 非 住 家 公共士大庫総統 (適出、破損、埋没、交通の不通状況 等を記載) 審(総約)進設 道 器(数2: 獲録) 河川構造物 (等) 	防 画への記 避難場所 (無・有」)施設名 型 Described in Disaster Management Plan created by municipality
	音成避難選申的量 [無・有]] 運転的重 mm 時间的重 mm/nr の設定 月 1 氾濫区域 I 氾濫区域 II 氾濫区域 II
* (CONE) * (CONE) * ((東市名、医帝叙、人叙、理趣得用等ジン治市・預研号用 今を記載) 対応状況 (Evacuation situation and state of emergency response	氾濫面積 n ² n ² 土砂流出状況 [無・有] 氾濫面積 m ² m 最大堆積深 m m m 記濫最大延長×氾濫最大幅 m × m
た急 対応 を含 業 等	Image: The second se
直< <td>果 流域内の既存施設 L 無 有 (治山) 基 基 基 (所管不明) 基 基 基</td>	果 流域内の既存施設 L 無 有 (治山) 基 基 基 (所管不明) 基 基 基
その他(回所属 氏名 報告者 ①所属 氏名 ②所属 氏名 」	崩壊地付近の亀裂 し 無 ・ す 」 流木の堆積場所 [無 ・ す 」 その他(- - - -
標 「二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、二、	地間行(中有石) ビビリ行(中石石) 者 (該当する項目にO をつける) [確認済・不明] 住民 をつける) その他

- In the event of a sediment disaster, there is a need for national and prefectural governments to share details of the disaster for the purpose of emergency response and recovery
- The database is shared between the national and prefectural governments over the internet











 \bigcirc

Basic Characteristics of Slope Failure (Geography)

Geologic category	Typical rocks	Disasters from 1972 to 1997 (%): A	Distribution area (%): B	Collapse occurrence ratio A/B
Effusive rocks	Andesite, basalt, rhyolite, etc.	14.6	26.7	0.55
Plutonic rocks	Granite, diorite, quartz porphyry, etc.	17.3	11.8	1.47
Pyroclastic material	Agglomerate, tuff, etc.	12.1		
Aqueous sedimentary rocks	Shale, sandstone, clayslate, etc.	36.1	55.3	0.87
Metamorphic rocks	Schist, chert, etc.	6.9	4.6	1.50
Others		13.1	1.6	8.19



Basic Features of Slope failures (Inclination of slope and height of collapse)





Basic Features of Slope failures

(Extent of Collapse and Relationship between Collapse Distance and Height)



0

Basic Characteristics of Slope Failure (Depth of Failure) ⁽ 国土交通省



Basic Characteristics of Slope Failure (Collapsed Sediment Volume) 望国土交通省





土砂災害危険箇所調査

- Extract risk locations for each of debris flows, slope failure and landslides, based mainly on topographical features and the distribution of targets of protection such as homes and public facilities. Conduct field surveys, and investigate the position and spread, geology, range of possible damage and targets of protection, history of disasters, and current conditions (record with photos).
- Charts are created for each location, and held by the prefecture. Summary tables are then shared with national and prefectural governments.
- Based on the number of households within the areas where debris flow and land slippage damage is likely, designated the location as I (5 or more households), II (1-4 households), or III (likely to have a target for protection at a later time). For landslides, classify the landslide stability as A, B or C (relative evaluation based on score from survey items).



土砂災害危険箇所調査の一例(土石流危険渓流カルテ)

		土石流	危険	渓流カルテ	-2				
渓流番号 206-I-101		渓 流 名	塚原)	11	事務	所名 防	府土木致	主祭事	務所
土石流危険区域設定の	為の調査	調査日:	平成1	12年02月02日	(記入者:	富士原	亮)
土石流危険区域の地形	扇状地形								
土石流氾濫開始点	条件	扇状地I	貢部		勾	配			10 °
土石流氾濫終息点	条件	勾配			勾	SA			3 °
上石流氾濫開始点から当	石流氾濫和	冬息点までの	の距離						248 m
上石流氾濫区域の最大的	Ē	130	m	土石渣氾濫區	ス域の	而藉		20	140 m

Topographical features of expected range of damage (gradient, area, width etc.)



Expected range of damage and homes that are targets of protection

_				旧渓	流番号(K1-7-	-154)
		土石流	危険渓流力	ルテ3		[1/1]
渓流番号	206- I -101	渓流名	塚原川	事務所名	防府土木建多	豪事務所
	土石	all		100 million (1990)	6)
全対象		f Targe	ets of I	Protecti	on 🔹	業所 0(0)
	気量 上記以外の公共施設種 類・数量	公共施設:1(券	(育施設1)			
	田畑	耕地面積:	0.27 ha (().27 ha)		
	交通網(道路·鉄道)	-				
「味の状況	渓床堆積土砂の有無	I-1	I-2			
	存在する区間(m)	200	250			
	その厚さ(m)	1.5	1.5			
	禿赭地の面積率 伐採跡地の面積率		0.0 %			
	新山)魚烈,湯茨岸	金刻.				
防施設の	基数					
1946	未満砂量(総量)		0 m3			
		ダムの諸	「元(計画値)	現地諸	査結果	
	名 称 所管	有初高 基礎長	計劃 元河床 野奶	量 未満砂高 堆砂長	現況現況量	未満砂量 V-Vb
	Pres	ence	of targ	et facili	ties 🏻	(m3)
	Snor	re for t	tanat	facilitia	c –	
	Oper		aryci	acintic	5	
itti	画流出土砂量		10,781 m3			
查所見	当渓流は、流域面積0.1 影を呈している。山腹船	3km ¹ 、発生流域i 両にはまに 高っ	面積流域面積0.04 た広葉場が生育1	kmを有する渓流で、 ておい 原茎な鼻疹	漢床勾配は比	較的急峻な地 Refr はの
	=0.2~0.3mの小碟や中 が見られる事から、近年	陳が点在し、土砂 調査な土砂料	が、深さ1.5mほど 動は発生していな	で均一に堆積してい	る。課の表面に	はコケの付着
	安定したほがあるとし					崩壊の危険性
	FIN	aings (aisast	er risk	etC.)	

土砂災害危険箇所調査の一例(土石流危険渓流カルテ)





			旧渓	流番号(K1-7-154
	土石流	危険渓流カノ	レテ4	[02/04
渓流番号 206-Ⅰ-101 横斷調査地点(I-1)	渓流名	塚原川 写真番号:P3	事務所名	防府土木建築事務所 平成12年02月26日
State of mor	untair	n stream	n	
模断スケッチ(I-1)	À	写真番号:		平成12年02月26日
EK		60m		×.
	这变龙1047	<u>秩</u>	1	5

Side View 2 Thickness of deposited sediment, vegetation on top)



Sediment Disasters make up about half of the dead and missing from all natural disasters



* Excluding dead or missing persons from the Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake (1995) and the Great East Japan Earthquake (2011)

For the number of dead and missing for each year, for all natural disasters, source is the Disaster White Paper, and for debris flows, land slippage, landslides and avalanches, source is the MLIT River Bureau Sabo Dept.

The number of dead and missing from non-sediment-related natural disasters, does not include snow damage, except for avalanches (from 1993).



- In July 1967, landslide disasters occurred in various parts of the western part of Japan. Hills, mountains, or cliffs located just behind or close to urban areas collapsed because of heavy rainfall, and a large number of people were killed.
- In those days, there were no clear institutional or organizational systems meant to prevent sediment disasters related to or caused by valley topography, such as regulations or measures to prevent collapsed sediments from entering mountain streams.
- In 1969, the national government established the Act on Prevention of Disasters Caused by Steep Slope Failure and started various projects to prevent collapse of steep slopes.

Article 1

This Act aims to support stabilization of civil life and maintenance of national land by stipulating measures necessary to prevent collapse of steep slopes in order to protect lives of the general public from disasters caused by steep slope failure.



Designation of Danger Area of Steep Slope Failure





- Steep slope: land with a slope of 30 degrees or more
- Inducement/promotion area: Area where implementation of a restrictive action can induce or promote failure
- Damage estimation area: Area where failure of a steep slope can cause damage
- Danger area of steep slope failure: Area where the height of a steep slope is 5 m or more and five or more houses are located in the damage estimation area (governmental or administrative facilities, schools, hospitals, hotels or other public buildings may suffice the definition even if they are less than five in number).
- Steep slope failure prevention facility: <u>facility</u> located in a danger area of steep slope failure designed <u>to</u> <u>prevent steep slope failure</u>, such as retaining wall or drainage facility. 28

Case Examples of Positive Effects of Steep Slope Facilities国土交通省



Concept of Method Selection (Example)



Where the topographic, geographic, or built-up condition is not uniform, a work section is generally subdivided by the type, and the appropriate method is selected for each subdivision.





Major Purpose of Survey

To obtain a general understanding of the target slope including topographic and geologic characteristics

• Literature to Collect

Past disaster records, past slope inspection records, environmental records of the areas around steep slopes, meteorological records, earthquake records, geologic maps, topographic maps, land condition diagrams, land use diagrams, landslide distribution diagrams, aerial photos, literature and construction work records, geologic or soil survey reports, etc.

Important Notes

- ✓ It is necessary to collect any materials that can be obtained as much as possible and apply them to field reconnaissance (reconnaissance survey) and major survey prior to conducting a field survey.
- Make full use of the collection of the basic surveys conducted based on the Act on Sediment Disaster Countermeasures for Sediment Disaster Prone Areas as they serve as useful data.

🔮 国土交通省

Major Purpose of Survey

The purpose is to estimate the mechanism of failure and make qualitative evaluation of the scale and the degree of danger of postulated failure and to <u>evaluate the necessity of ground</u> <u>survey and, when judged necessary, select locations of survey.</u>

Survey Items

Topographic survey, geologic survey, spring water survey, vegetation survey, and survey of the modes of slope failure of rock slops

- Important Notes
- ✓ Since rich experience often tells us what mode of failure will occur depending on the geology of the slope, clarify geologic and topographic interpretation of how the current slope has been formed.
- ✓ When there is any failure site nearby that slipped in the past, compare it with the target slope.
- ✓ Investigate where are discontinuities related to strength, water permeation, or water infiltration.
- ✓ When cracks are found on the upper part of the slope or the surface of the middle or lower part of the slope is swollen, such anomalies can often allow us to estimate the shape of the surface of rupture. Therefore, pay sufficient attention to the topography and slope shape.

Topographic Survey

- a. Valley head slope (zeroorder basin)
- b. The waterhead of a stream or the water spring part
- c. The vicinity of a dissection front (knick line) on the mountain slope (valley wall) or the upper part of the landslide scar
- d. The periphery of a plateau or terrace scarp
- e. Others include cases where deposits or volcanic ash layers thinly covering a mountain slope may slide down because of rainfall.







Classification of Failure Modes





Note: Based on a partial revision of the New Version of the Design and Actual Examples of Slope Failure Prevention Works





• Purposes

- ✓ To make quantitative evaluation of the scale of failure including the amount of sediments to occur from a failure
- To obtain basic information for comparative review of methods and detailed design
 - Focal Points and Important Notes
- ✓ It is important to conduct sounding or other tests to estimate the ground composition, slip surfaces, and strength in an objective manner.



- Check Items in Soil Survey
- \checkmark Soil composition of the slope and the strength of constituent soil
- ✓ Soil composition at the planned work site and strength constant for calculation of the bearing capacity of the structure

Important Notes

- ✓ Steep slope failure prevention is basically meant for surface failure and is not intended to understand the geologic condition in the depth of the slope.
- Therefore, it is important to consider the topographic or geologic conditions, minimize use of boring survey, compare simple survey methods such as sounding or geophysical exploration methods with boring, evaluate the balance of the resultant precision and cost for those options, and use the appropriate survey method.



Name	Description	Remarks
Standard penetration test	• Freely drop a hammer with a mass of 63.5 kg \pm 0.5 kg from a height of 76 \pm 1 cm. After preliminary striking to penetrate the hammer 15 cm, the main striking is performed to penetrate 30 cm. Count the number of strikes (N value) when the depth of 30 cm is reached, and take the drilled sample. The effective depth is about 20 m. • Applicable soil: Soil excluding bedrock or cobble	Determination of N value or approximate bearing capacity, sampling of soil, or preparation of soil profile The machine needs to be brought to and set up at the site.
Cone penetrometer	 Statically penetrate a cone with a front end angle of 30° manually and measure the resistance the cone received with a force gauge. It calculates cohesive force or uniaxial compression strength approximately. Applicable soil: cohesive soil 	In reality, it is almost never used on steep slopes.
Swedish sounding	 Measure the static penetration resistance of the soil with a combined use of loaded (100 kg) penetration and rotational penetration. There are many proposed equations that convert the measured values into N value. Effective depth: 5 to 10 m Applicable soil: Soil excluding cobble or gravel 	It may be judged applicable depending on the soil conditions although it poses some precision problem. There is no need to consider temporary installation.
Simple penetration test	 Freely drop a hammer with a mass of 5 kg from a height of 50 cm to determine the penetration resistance of the soil at the drop site. The obtained value is evaluated to be almost equal to N value. Effective depth: 3 m Applicable soil: Soil excluding hard cohesive soil or gravel 	It is not widely applicable because of its shallow depth of penetration for testing. However, there is no need to consider transport or temporary installation.
		37

Reference—Various Types of Sounding Machines



Standard penetration test



Simple penetration test—top: PWRI type: down: SH type

	Simple penetration test machine	Standard penetration test (boring)	Swedish sounding test machine
Resolution power	Every 10 cm Every strike	Every 30 cm	Every one cm (penetration stop depth) Every 25 cm (for rotary penetration)
No. of workers required	2	2	2
Weight of machine proper	15 to 17 kg (for 5 m long section of rod)	About 400 kg	Over 110 kg (for 5 m long section of rod)

Failure Modes and Survey Methods

Survey method Sounding Gounding	<u></u>								0	anhua	iaal	0						• -	• •	
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	/	S	urvev	oring		Sour	ding		ex	opnys plorat	ical ion	Grou	undwa	ter su	rvey	Lve)	stre	i cons ength	tant test	meter) meter)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		method				oenetrometer	lish sounding	netration test	e exploration	ic exploration	adar exploration	- level survey	/ater tracking	r well logging	meability test	ice water su	chanical test	physical test	Rock test	ant survey (indino n gauge, extenso
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Coll cate	apse egory	Sign		Standard pe	Cone	Swed	Simple per	Elastic wav	Electri	Underground r	Groundwate	Groundw	Groundwate	Per	Surfa	Soil me	Soil		Slope displaceme strai
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ace	Fall	1 -(1)																	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Surfa	Slip	1 -(2)			0	0	0	0	0			0		0	0	0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_	Fall	2 -(1)																	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	elluvia		2 -(2)-a	\bigcirc	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ပိဗိ	Slip	2 -(2)-b	0			0	0			\bigtriangleup	0	0		0		0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_ ti		3 -(1)-a																	
$ \frac{\dot{A} \dot{A}}{\dot{A}} = \frac{\dot{Slip}}{\dot{Slip}} \frac{3 - (2)}{4 - (1) - a} \bigcirc A \land A$	oclas	Fall	3 -(1)-b																	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ЪХ́Ш	Slip	3 -(2)	0				0		\bigtriangleup	\bigtriangleup	0	0		0		0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	()		4 -(1)-a	0							-									
$ \frac{1}{100} \frac{9}{100} \frac{1}{100} 1$	errace	Fall	4 -(1)-b	0																
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	de Te	Slip	4 -(2)	\bigcirc				0	0	0		0	0	0	0					\bigtriangleup
$ \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{100000} \frac{1}{100000} \frac{1}{1000000} \frac{1}{10000000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	rock	Fall	5 -(1)																	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	leavily nered	Slin	5 -(2)-a	0	0		\triangle	0	0	\bigtriangleup		0	0				0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	weath	Silp	5 -(2)-b	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0				0	0		\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			6 -(1)-a	\bigtriangleup							0									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			6 -(1)-b	\bigtriangleup																
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	~	Fall	6 -(1)-c	\bigtriangleup						\bigtriangleup										
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ock (I		6 -(1)-d	\bigtriangleup						\triangle	\bigtriangleup									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Å		6 -(2)-a	0					0		\bigtriangleup									\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Slip	6 -(2)-b	\bigcirc					0	0	\bigtriangleup	\triangle								\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			6 -(2)-c	\bigcirc																\bigtriangleup
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			7'-(1)-a	\bigtriangleup						\triangle						-				
$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{7 \cdot (2) \cdot a}{2} \bigcirc \bigcirc$	€	Fall	7 -(1)-b																	
	Rock		7 -(2)-a	\bigcirc	0				0	\triangle		0				0.05	113			\bigtriangleup
		Slip	7 -(2)-b	0	0				0			0				-	i the		A	\bigtriangleup

Soil survey method

The conventional test machine is designed to measure the number of strikes for every 10 cm penetration. SH type, however, can automatically record the penetration for every strike. Operated by two operators.



•



<u>References</u> Technical Note of National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management No. 261 Research on a method of estimating the potential depth of slope failure using a knocking pole test



- Arrangement of survey
 points
- ✓ It is recommended that the survey be conducted in a grid-like pattern at an interval of 5 to 10 m by considering the scale of slope.



🔮 国土交通省

- Check if the characteristics determined by the test result based on the soil category roughly match those of the soil structure identified in the vicinity.
 - When such similarity is confirmed as above, categorize the soil properties according to the soil structure identified in the vicinity. Then, identify a location where a slip plane is considered to occur and estimate the thickness of a layer that is likely to fail.

٠







• Purpose

- ✓ To capture sediments with a standby retaining wall
- Design Conditions
- ✓ The retaining wall shall be structurally stable against the expected failure.



- It shall satisfy three conditions, or sliding, tumbling, and bearing capacity.
- It shall have a sediment pocket that can resist the impact force F by an expected failure and capture the amount of collapsed sediments V.
- ✓ Strength verification of members

十交诵省



Flow Chart of Standby Retaining Wall Design



C	Combination of loads	In the peacetime	During earthquake	Under impact force	During deposition of collapsed sediments
State diagram		Backfill earth	Seismic inertial force Backfill earth pressure during earthquake	Impact force Backfill earth pressure	Sediment pressure Backfill earth pressure
External force		(1) Backfill earth pressure	(1) Backfill earth pressure(2) Seismic inertial force	 Backfill earth pressure Impact force of collapsed sediments 	 (1) Backfill earth pressure (2) Sediment pressure of collapsed sediments
Safety factor	Sliding	Fs ≥ 1.5	Fs ≥ 1.2	Fs > 1.0	Fs ≥ 1.2
	Overturning	e ≤ B/6	e ≤ B/3	e ≤ B/3	e ≤ B/3
	Bearing capacity of the foundation ground	q ≤ qa = qu/Fs Fs = 3.0	q ≤ qa = qu/Fs Fs = 2.0	q ≤ qa = qu/Fs Fs = 1.0	q ≤ qa = qu/Fs Fs = 2.0

Note 1: Those with the height of 8 m or higher will be reviewed.

Where, e: eccentric distance of the working position of resultant force from the center of the bottom slab

B: Width of the bottom slab of retaining wall

q: Subgrade reaction intensity

qa: Allowable subgrade bearing unit capacity

qu: Ultimate subgrade bearing unit capacity



48

Vegetation on slopes is divided into six, and the occurrence frequency with the number of landslides and the vegetation categories taken into consideration is shown in Table 1.3-3 and Fig. 1.3-5. Analysis of the relationship between the vegetation categories and the collapse frequency revealed that collapse occurred in spite of vegetation such as trees, indicating the difficulty in plainly judging the frequency of collapse by vegetation.

	Collapse site		
Vegetation category	No. of site	Component rate (%)	
Bare land	637	6.3	
Grassland	3207	31.5	
Bamboo	657	6.5	
Coniferous trees	1085	10.7	
Broad-leaved trees	2537	24.9	
Mixed forest with coniferous and broad-leaved species	1567	15.4	
Others	494	4.9	





Fig. 1.3-5 Slope vegetation and collapse occurrence frequency



- The most influential cause of landslide is rainfall. The relationships among the continuous rainfall from the start of raining to the occurrence of a landslide, the maximum hourly rainfall, the hourly rainfall when the landslide occurred, and the number of landslides are shown in Figs. 1.3–13 to 15.
- It is necessary to consider cases where a landslide site is distant from a rainfall observatory or the time of occurrence is estimation are also included (actual rainfall is expected to increase). However, there are cases where landslides occur even under small rainfall, and the number of landslides increases until the continuous rainfall or rainfall intensity reaches a certain value (100 mm or 30 mm, respectively) and decreases when they exceed the said value.









Fig. 1.3-14 Maximum hourly rainfall until occurrence



Fig. 1.3-15 Hourly rainfall at the time of occurrence

 While the most influential cause of landslide is rainfall, there are still many landslides that were caused by earthquakes. The data of landslides caused by earthquakes are extracted from the landslide disaster data including those related to the Izu Peninsula earthquake in 1974 and the Southern Hyogo prefecture earthquake in 1995 (149 cases). The data are analyzed for comparison with the characteristics of rainfall-induced landslides.



 The sectional profiles of the collapse sites are compared by the cause with respect to landslides caused by earthquakes and those by rainfall (Fig. 1.3-16). More collapses by earthquakes occur on large ridges or small mountainside ridges than those by rainfall. It is also revealed that more collapses occur by rainfall than earthquakes in small mountainside valleys where instable sediments exist and surface water and groundwater tend to collect or on parallel mountainside slopes.



Fig. 1.3-16 Comparison of sectional profiles of collapse sites

 Unlike sectional profiles, no particular differences between collapses by earthquake and those by rainfall are observed with respect to the longitudinal profile (Fig. 1.3-17).





 When a slope is between 31° and 50° in gradient, more collapses occur by earthquake than by rainfall (Fig. 1.3-18).



Fig. 1.3-18 Average slope at collapse sites



 Collapses by earthquake are characterized by the collapsed sediment volume that exceeds 500 m³ compared with those by rainfall (Fig. 1.3-19).



 Fig. 1.3–20 shows the travel distance of the collapsed sediment, while Fig. 1.3–21 shows the ratio of the travel distance of the sediment to the height of the collapse. The travel distance of the collapse is 0 to 15 m in many cases. Some exceed 50 m as there are data of large-scale earthquake-induced collapses. Analysis of the ratio of the travel distance of the sediment to the height of the collapse indicates that the ratio of not more than 0.19 is greater for the collapses by earthquake than by rainfall and that the ratio of the travel distance of collapse by earthquake to the height is smaller than by rainfall. This suggests that earthquake-induced collapses are characterized by smaller soil water content and drier soil condition than rainfall-induced ones as causal factors.





Fig. 1.3–20 Travel distance of collapsed sediments caused by earthquake and rainfall



Fig. 1.3-21 Ratio of the travel distance of collapsed sediments to the slope height





 The differences in the characteristics of landslides depending on the causal factor are summarized in Table 1.3-5.

Table 1.3-5 Characteristics of landslides caused by rainfall and earthquake

	Rainfall-induced collapses	Earthquake-induced collapses	
Elevation or specific height	Frequent on mountain side	Frequent at the upper part of slope	
Gradient	Frequent between 30 \degree and 40 \degree	Frequent between 35° and 55°	
Slope profile	 Rarely seen on ridge lines Many collapses in depressed topography 	 Many near the knick line Many on parallel slopes or ridge slopes 	