Surveys and analysis regarding the evaluation structure of living satisfaction for the attainment of prosperous living

HASEGAWA Hiroshi Hasegawa(Ph.D (Engineering)),

Research Coordinator for Housing Performance, Housing Department

(Keywords) Living, satisfaction, living resources, web investigations

1. Foreword

Policies are being promoted for the "Attainment of a prosperous living" based on the Basic plan for housing (National Plan/2011 to 2020), however, in light of recent financial conditions, it is essential that effective housing measures be carried out effectively. Because of this, we have been taking initiatives to investigate the evaluation structure of each household's living satisfaction

(hereafter "living satisfaction") in a three-year plan since 2014. Based on a web survey, basic data was collected and analyzed in 2014.

2. Setting the living resource items

Assuming living satisfaction is defined as the quantity and quality of "living resources" possessed by each household, the "living resources," believed to define living satisfaction, was set. Items were temporarily set by consolidating relevant literature and conducting expert hearings, and through pre-investigations on the Web, a total of 34 items were set from the standpoint of residential environment, housing, community and ease of residential improvement.

3. Extracting living resource items that affect living satisfaction

Based on this Web investigation, a survey was conducted regarding the total evaluation of living satisfaction, as well as the importance and satisfaction of each living resource item. All 6,138 samples were attained by securing the number of samples that could be analyzed for separate household types (elderly single/married couples, singles other than elderlies/married couples other than elderlies, Table 1: The results of the living resource items affecting the total evaluation of living satisfaction

	Metropolit	an area/city center	Provincial a	rea/suburbs
	Elderly	Child-rearing	Elderly	Child-rearing
	singles	758 samples	singles	1,051 samples
	457 samples	I III	412 samples	, I
Residential	Safety	Safety from traffic	• Good	•
envrionment	from traffic	accidents	peace and	Abundance
	accidents	(0.08)	order	of nature
	(0.06)	· Good peace and	(0.06)	and
	• good peace	order	Abundance	greenery
	and order	(0.06)	of nature	(010)
	(0.16)	· No facilities that	and	• Ease of
	• Distance to	negatively affect	greenery	car
	bus stop	residential	(0.06)	movement
	(0.12)	environment	Distance to	(0.10)
	•	(0.11)	bus stop	•
	Convenienc	•	(0.07)	Convenienc
	e of the	Distance/convenien	•	e of the
	supermarket	ce to railway station	Convenienc	supermarke
	(0.14)	(0.13)	e of the	t
		 Convenience of the 	supermarket	(0.16)
		supermarket	(0.15)	
		(0.07)		
		Convenience of the		
		bank/post office		
		(0.13)		
Housing	•	Seismic resistance	Seismic	Exposure
	Airtightness,	(0.07)	resistance	to the sun
	insulation	Spaciousness and	(0.14)	and
	characteristi	layout	•	ventilation
	CS	(0.16)	Airtightness,	(0.12)
	(0.14)	• Ease of housework	insulation	• Sound
	• 	(0.15)	characteristi	insulation
	Spaciousnes s and layout		cs (0.11)	(0.12)
	(0.20)		(0.11)	Sectionary
	(0.20)		• Spaciousnes	Spaciousne ss and
			*	
			s and layout	layout
Community	• Close to a	• Close to a parent's	s and layout (0.23)	layout (0.21)
Community	• Close to a child's	• Close to a parent's	s and layout (0.23)	layout (0.21) • Close to a
Community	child's	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents'	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's
Community	child's residence	-	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence
Community	child's residence (0.13)	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents'	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's
Community	child's residence (0.13) • There are	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association is solid	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence (0.08)
Community	child'sresidence(0.13)There arepeople one	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association is solid	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence (0.08) • The
Community	child'sresidence(0.13)There arepeople one	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association is solid	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence (0.08) • The residents'
Community	child's residence (0.13) • There are people one can greet	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association is solid	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence (0.08) • The residents' association
Community	child's residence (0.13) • There are people one can greet and	residence	s and layout (0.23) • The residents' association is solid	layout (0.21) • Close to a friend's residence (0.08) • The residents' association is solid

* Inside the parenthesis is a standard partial regression coefficient and the relative influence is shown for each item. Items with larger numbers increase in proportion to the effect on the total evaluation of living satisfaction. child-rearing) in each of the four region types (metropolitan area center/suburbs, provincial area center/suburbs).

A multiple regression analysis was conducted with the total evaluation of living satisfaction as the response variable, and the satisfaction of each living resource item as the explanatory variable for each household type in each region. Based on the standard partial regression coefficient of each explanatory variable, the items that affect the total evaluation of living satisfaction were extracted. As well, the living resource items affecting the total evaluation of living satisfaction of single elderly households and child-rearing households in the metropolitan area center/suburbs, provincial area/suburbs was extracted, as indicated in Table 1. We were able to find specific items that influence the total evaluation of living satisfaction regardless of one's place of residence and household type, as well as items that differ among one's place of residence and household type.

4. Future works

We will continue to specifically analyze the data attained and at the same time, conduct surveys and analysis of specific regions and work on clarifying the evaluation structure of living satisfaction.